

$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ in the Aspon Model

Arjun Berera and Thomas W. Kephart

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA

Marc Sher

Physics Department, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187, USA

An attractive alternative to the standard model of CP violation is the aspon model. In this model, CP is spontaneously broken, automatically solving the strong CP problem. Recently, it has been shown that CP violation in the B system is much smaller in the aspon model than in the standard model. Here we provide a complementary study by considering $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$, which is almost entirely CP violating and free of hadronic uncertainties, and show that the rate in the aspon model is many orders of magnitude below the standard model rate. Observation of $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ would rule out the aspon model.

A promising alternative to the standard model explanation of CP violation is the aspon model[1, 2, 3]. In this model, CP is spontaneously broken, and the strong CP problem is automatically resolved. Recently, Frampton and Glashow[4], following work of Ackley, et al.[5], showed that a consequence of the aspon model is that CP violation in the B-sector will be significantly suppressed, leading to a clear distinction between this model and the standard model. In this Brief Report, we point out another important distinction between the two models: the rate for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$.

$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ has been regarded as a “gold-plated” test of CP violation[6]. It is almost entirely CP-violating, and the calculation of the decay rate is free of hadronic uncertainties. Although the rate in the standard model is small, experiments are currently being planned at Brookhaven National Laboratory and the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility[7] to detect the decay, and measure the rate to 10% accuracy. The calculation of the rate involves determining the effective flavor-changing $s - d - Z$ vertex. In the standard model[8], the loop involves a W and a top quark, and the diagram is thus proportional to the product of CKM elements: $V_{ts}V_{td}^*$. Since the decay is CP-violating, the effective vertex is proportional to the imaginary part of this quantity. (Box diagrams are proportional to lepton masses and are thus much smaller.)

In the aspon model, an additional $U(1)$ gauge group is added to the standard model. The standard model particles are singlets under this group. One then usually adds a vectorlike doublet of quarks, U and D , and two complex Higgs scalars, χ_1 and χ_2 , which are charged under the $U(1)$. When the χ_i get vacuum expectation values, the $U(1)$ is broken; the resulting massive gauge boson is called the aspon. Since, in general, the vacuum values of the χ_i will have a nonzero relative phase, CP will be spontaneously broken by this phase. Since the Lagrangian is CP-invariant, there is no strong CP problem and the CKM elements are real. Thus, the standard model contribution to $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ will vanish.

There will be a contribution to the effective $s - d - Z$ vertex in the aspon model from a loop involving the χ fields and the vectorlike D -quark. The couplings to the light quarks are given by

$$\mathcal{L} = (h_k^1 \chi_1 + h_k^2 \chi_2)(\bar{d}_L^k D_R) + \text{H.c.} \quad (1)$$

The h^i Yukawa couplings are real, by the CP invariance of the Lagrangian. When the χ fields get vacuum expectation values, this term causes mixing between the D and d^k fields. The mixing will, in general, be complex due to the complex vacuum expectation values. The mixing can be parametrized by the quantity x_k , which is defined to be $h_k^1 \frac{\langle \chi_1 \rangle}{M} + h_k^2 \frac{\langle \chi_2 \rangle}{M}$, where M is the D -quark mass. Frampton and Glashow[4] show that a plausible range for the x_i^2 is between 3×10^{-5} and 10^{-3} .

So, how big is this loop in the aspon model? The difference between this case and the standard model case is that the couplings $g^2 V_{ts} V_{td}^*$ are replaced by $h_2 h_1 \sim x_2 x_1 (M^2 / \langle \chi \rangle^2)$. There is a dependence on the masses in the loops (the W and top in the standard model and the χ and D in the aspon model), of course; this dependence gives a factor which is $O(1)$ (but could vary by an order of magnitude or so). Given that $g^2 V_{ts} V_{td}^*$ is $O(10^{-4})$, we see that, given Frampton and Glashow's plausible range for the x_i , the two models have similar contributions to the effective $s - d - Z$ vertex.

However, it is crucial to note that the contribution to the $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ decay depends on the *imaginary* part of the vertex. For the standard model, the imaginary part is still $O(10^{-4})$. In the aspon model, however, the h_i , as well as the CKM elements, are real. To get an imaginary contribution, one must either go to higher loops (causing a suppression of roughly two orders of magnitude), or consider the loop with an internal strange or down quark. A $s - s - \chi$ or $s - d - \chi$ vertex can arise from mixing between the D with an s or d . However, this mixing will be proportional to $x_2 x_1$, and this gives an additional factor of $x_2 x_1 < 10^{-3}$, suppressing the result by at least three orders of magnitude.[9]

Just as it has been shown that CP violation in the B-system in the aspon model is severely suppressed, we have shown here that $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ is also suppressed. Discovery of such a decay would rule out the aspon model.

References

- [1] P.H. Frampton and T.W. Kephart, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1666 (1991).
- [2] P.H. Frampton and D. Ng, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 3034 (1991).
- [3] P.H. Frampton, D. Ng, T.W. Kephart, and T. J. Weiler Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2129 (1992).
- [4] P.H. Frampton and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 1691 (1997)
- [5] A.W. Ackley, P.H. Frampton, B. Kayser and C.B. Leung, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 3560 (1994).
- [6] A.J. Buras, Phys. Lett. **B333**, 476 (1994); A.J. Buras, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on High Energy Physics*, Glasgow, Scotland, 1994, edited by P.J. Bussey and I.G. Knowles (IOP, London, 1995).
- [7] L. Littenberg and M. Ito (private communication).
- [8] T. Inami and C.S. Lim, Prog. Theor. Phys. **65**, 297 (1981).
- [9] Note that the related decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ is not CP-violating, and thus the standard model contribution is not eliminated by the reality of the CKM matrix. One expects that decay to have a contribution from the aspon model which is of similar order of magnitude. Since the calculation of this process is much less clean, it would be difficult to make definitive statements about the aspon model from detection of this decay mode.